

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR  
INTERNATIONAL, INC. et al.,

Defendants.

No. 09-05235 MMC

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS'  
OBJECTION TO MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE'S ORDER OF AUGUST 28, 2013**

Before the Court is defendants' Motion for Relief (hereinafter, "Objection"), filed September 11, 2013, by which defendants object to Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James's August 28, 2013, order denying defendants' request to amend their infringement contentions. Also before the Court is defendants' Administrative Motion, filed September 11, 2013, for leave to file a portion of their Objection under seal.<sup>1</sup>

Defendants' Objection is based primarily on declarations, not previously submitted to Magistrate Judge James (see Objection at 3 n.2), pertaining to difficult personal concerns bearing upon the ability of defendants' technical expert to assist in the preparation of the proposed amendments. Under such circumstances, defendants' Objection is, in essence, a motion for reconsideration based on newly-offered evidence.

---

<sup>1</sup> By said motion, defendants seek to file under seal an exhibit in support of one of the below-referenced declarations.


1 See Civil L.R. 7-9.

2 Accordingly, defendants' Objection is hereby DENIED, without prejudice to  
3 defendants' filing a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration, to be presented to  
4 Magistrate Judge James.

5 In light of the above, defendants' Administrative Motion is hereby DENIED as moot.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7  
8 Dated: September 13, 2013

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge